

U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, **D.C**. 20535

STATEMENT

OF

JAMES K. KALLSTROM

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR IN CHARGE

NEW YORK DIVISION

ON

FEBRUARY 5, 1997

BEFORE THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON TELECOMMUNICATIONS, TRADE,

AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REGARDING

CELLULAR PRIVACY.



MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, TRADE, AND CONSUMER PROTECTION, I WOULD LIKE
TO THANK YOU FOR PROVIDING ME WITH THIS OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS
WITH YOU THE MATTER OF AUTHORIZED AND UNAUTHORIZED INTERCEPTIONS
OF COMMUNICATIONS, THE FEDERAL LAWS REGARDING THE INTERCEPTION OF
COMMUNICATIONS AND COMMUNICATION INTERCEPTION DEVICES, AND THE
MATTER OF SECURITY RELATED TO WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS.

ALMOST THIRTY (30) YEARS AGO, CONGRESS ENACTED THE
FIRST COMPREHENSIVE LEGISLATION WHICH, ON THE ONE HAND, PROTECTS
AN INDIVIDUAL'S COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY, BY MAKING ILLEGAL

<u>UNAUTHORIZED</u> COMMUNICATION INTERCEPTIONS, AND WHICH, ON THE
OTHER, PROTECTS THE SAFETY OF THE AMERICAN PUBLIC, BY
ESTABLISHING A MEANS FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT TO CONDUCT <u>AUTHORIZED</u>
COMMUNICATION INTERCEPTIONS, PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER, WHEN SUCH
COMMUNICATIONS ARE USED IN FURTHERANCE OF CRIME. THIS
LEGISLATION, TITLE III OF THE "OMNIBUS CRIME CONTROL AND SAFE
STREETS ACT OF 1968," HAS WORKED EXTREMELY WELL IN CAREFULLY
BALANCING INDIVIDUAL AND SOCIETAL NEEDS.

TITLE III, AS ORIGINALLY ENACTED, UNDER PROVISIONS

CODIFIED AT TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 2511, MADE IT

ILLEGAL TO INTENTIONALLY CONDUCT UNAUTHORIZED INTERCEPTIONS OF

WIRE AND ORAL COMMUNICATIONS. THIS PROHIBITION APPLIES TO

PRIVATE PERSONS AND PUBLIC OFFICIALS ALIKE. IT FURTHER MADE

ILLEGAL THE INTENTIONAL DISCLOSURE AND USE OF THE CONTENTS OF

SUCH ILLEGALLY INTERCEPTED COMMUNICATIONS. VIOLATIONS OF THIS

SECTION ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINES OF UP TO \$250,000, AND A PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT OF NOT MORE THAN FIVE (5) YEARS. CIVIL CAUSES OF ACTION FOR VICTIMS OF ILLEGAL INTERCEPTIONS ARE ALSO ESTABLISHED, UNDER SECTION 2520. THE PRIVACY ASPECTS OF TITLE III HAVE BEEN UPDATED SINCE 1968 TO COVER CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS, IN THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT OF 1986 (EPCA), AND TO COVER CORDLESS TELEPHONE COMMUNICATIONS AND RADIO-BASED DATA TRANSMISSIONS, IN THE COMMUNICATIONS ASSISTANCE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT (CALEA) IN 1994.

WITH REGARD TO ATTEMPTING TO PROVIDE COMMUNICATIONS
SECURITY, TITLE III PROVISIONS, CODIFIED AT TITLE 18, UNITED
STATES CODE, SECTION 2512, PROHIBIT THE MANUFACTURE,
DISTRIBUTION, SALE, POSSESSION, AND ADVERTISING OF INTERCEPTION
DEVICES WHOSE PRIMARY PURPOSE IS THE SURREPTITIOUS INTERCEPTION
OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS. VIOLATIONS OF THIS
STATUTE ARE ALSO PUNISHABLE BY A FINE OF UP TO \$250,000 AND A
PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT OF NOT MORE THAN FIVE (5) YEARS. THE
PURPOSE OF THIS PROVISION IS TO LIMIT THE AVAILABILITY OF
INTERCEPTION DEVICES TO AUTHORIZED LAW ENFORCEMENT ENTITIES AND
TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS -- AND TO KEEP THEM OUT OF THE
HANDS OF UNAUTHORIZED EAVESDROPPERS.

ASIDE FROM THESE TITLE III FEDERAL CRIMINAL STATUTES

THAT PENALIZE THE ILLEGAL INTERCEPTION OF COMMUNICATIONS AND THE

ILLEGAL PURVEYANCE OF COVERT INTERCEPTION DEVICES, THE FCC HAS
PROMULGATED REGULATIONS THAT ARE INTENDED TO PREVENT THE
MANUFACTURE OF CERTAIN TYPES OF SCANNERS AND DEVICES THAT CAN BE
USED TO EFFECT SURREPTITIOUS INTERCEPTIONS WITHIN THE CELLULAR
TELEPHONE PORTION OF THE RADIO SPECTRUM. WE DEFER TO THE FCC
REGARDING THE DETAILS OF THESE REGULATIONS AND THEIR
EFFECTIVENESS IN PREVENTING THE AVAILABILITY OF DEVICES THAT CAN
BE USED FOR UNAUTHORIZED, ILLEGAL CELLULAR INTERCEPTIONS.

WITH REGARD TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS OF SOCIETY, CONGRESS, IN ENACTING TITLE III, PROPERLY RECOGNIZED THE FACT THAT DANGEROUS CRIMINALS ROUTINELY USE OUR NATION'S TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS TO CARRY OUT THEIR CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES -- ACTIVITIES WHICH THREATEN THE PERSONAL SAFETY AND ECONOMIC WELL-BEING OF THE INNOCENT, LAW-ABIDING CITIZENS OF OUR NATION. IN ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR AUTHORIZED LAW ENFORCEMENT INTERCEPTIONS OF COMMUNICATIONS IN FEDERAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS, CONGRESS ENACTED STRICT PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING AND CONDUCTING SUCH COURT-ORDERED INTERCEPTIONS. OF PARTICULAR NOTE, THESE STATUTES ONLY ALLOW FOR THE USE OF THIS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT AND EFFECTIVE INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUE IN INVESTIGATIONS OF THE MOST SERIOUS CRIMES, ONLY WHEN THERE IS PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN WIRE OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS ARE BEING TRANSMITTED OVER SPECIFIC COMMUNICATIONS DEVICES IN THE FURTHERANCE OF SUCH CRIMES, AND ONLY WHERE THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY SHOWS THAT OTHER INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUES HAVE NOT WORKED,

OR WILL NOT WORK, OR ARE TOO DANGEROUS. FURTHER, IN ALL SUCH AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTIONS, LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ARE REQUIRED BY TITLE III TO CAREFULLY LIMIT, OR "MINIMIZE," ITS MONITORING OF INTERCEPTED COMMUNICATIONS TO ONLY THOSE CONVERSATIONS THAT ARE CRIMINAL IN NATURE.

IN MANY CASES, THERE IS NO SUBSTITUTE FOR COURT-ORDERED INTERCEPTIONS IN GATHERING EVIDENCE, PREVENTING CRIMES, SOLVING CRIMES, AND IN BRINGING THE VIOLENT TO JUSTICE. DURING THE PAST 14 YEARS, THE USE OF AUTHORIZED, COURT-ORDERED INTERCEPTIONS HAS DIRECTLY RESULTED IN THE CONVICTION OF OVER 26,000 DANGEROUS FELONS. SIMILARLY, TITLE III AND FISA-BASED INTERCEPTIONS HAVE MADE, AND CONTINUE TO MAKE, A SIGNIFICANT AND IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTION TO U.S. FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE, COUNTERTERRORISM, AND COUNTER-ESPIONAGE EFFORTS, IN INVESTIGATIONS SUCH AS THE WORLD TRADE CENTER BOMBING AND THE AMES SPY CASE.

FOLLOWING THE CONGRESS' LEAD, SINCE 1968, FORTY-ONE

(41) STATES, THE U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND THE DISTRICT OF

COLUMBIA HAVE ENACTED SIMILAR STATUTES WHICH PROTECT

COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY AND WHICH AUTHORIZE THE USE OF COURT
ORDERED COMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTIONS BY STATE AND LOCAL LAW

ENFORCEMENT IN THE INVESTIGATION OF SERIOUS STATE CRIMES IN THOSE

JURISDICTIONS.

THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) HAS EXCLUSIVE INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION REGARDING THE COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY AND ILLEGAL INTERCEPTION DEVICE VIOLATIONS ESTABLISHED IN TITLE III. DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS, ON AVERAGE, THE FBI HAS CONDUCTED APPROXIMATELY 80 INVESTIGATIONS PER YEAR CONCERNING ALLEGATIONS OF UNAUTHORIZED OR ILLEGAL INTERCEPTIONS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS. UNFORTUNATELY, THE FBI DOES NOT COMPILE STATISTICS REGARDING THE NUMBER OF ILLEGAL INTERCEPTIONS BEING DIRECTED AT WIRELESS AS COMPARED TO WIRELINE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SUBSCRIBERS. JUSTICE DEPARTMENT STATISTICS SHOW THAT IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS ALMOST 100 CASES HAVE BEEN PROSECUTED CHARGING VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. SECTION 2511.

GIVEN THAT THE FBI IS A LAW ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATIVE
AGENCY, AND NOT A REGULATORY AGENCY, WE ARE NOT IN THE BEST
POSITION TO COMMENT ON THE SECURITY OF CURRENT AND FUTURE
WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS. SUCH COMMENTS ARE BEST
ADDRESSED BY TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURERS,
INDIVIDUAL WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS, AND BY THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC). HOWEVER, WE ARE AWARE
OF THE FACT THAT THERE ARE CERTAIN PRIVACY AND SECURITY
WINERABILITIES IN THE AREA OF WIRELESS, RADIO-BASED PORTIONS OF
TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS. ON THE OTHER HAND, WE ARE ALSO
AWARE THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF SECURITY AND PRIVACY-ENHANCING
TECHNOLOGIES THAT CAN BE USED, AND ARE BEING USED BY SOME
WIRELESS CARRIERS, TO PROTECT THEIR SUBSCRIBERS' COMMUNICATIONS

FROM UNAUTHORIZED, ILLEGAL INTERCEPTIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, DIGITALBASED TRANSMISSIONS, FREQUENCY-HOPPING AND SPREAD-SPECTRUM

TECHNIQUES, AND THE SCRAMBLING OR ENCRYPTING OF THE RADIO PORTION
OF WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS HELP TO PROTECT SUBSCRIBERS' WIRELESS
COMMUNICATIONS AGAINST SUCH UNAUTHORIZED AND ILLEGAL
INTERCEPTIONS. THESE SECURITY PROTECTIONS CAN BE APPLIED TO
CELLULAR, CORDLESS, AND PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES (PCS)
TELEPHONES. THE SUBCOMMITTEE SHOULD UNDERSTAND THAT, AS A
GENERAL PROPOSITION, LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES DO NOT NORMALLY
EFFECT COURT-ORDERED INTERCEPTIONS THROUGH RADIO-FREQUENCY-BASED
TECHNIQUES. RATHER, LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES WORK DIRECTLY WITH
TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS TO EFFECT COURT-ORDERED
INTERCEPTIONS, IN A SECURE AND SURGICAL FASHION, WITHIN THE
SWITCHING FACILITIES OF THE WIRELESS CARRIERS.

IT IS UNFORTUNATE THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
PUBLICATIONS, TRADE MAGAZINES, AND SITES ON THE INTERNET WHERE
INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE CONCERNING TECHNIQUES AND DEVICES FOR
CONDUCTING UNAUTHORIZED, ILLEGAL INTERCEPTIONS. "CELLULAR
HACKER" INFORMATION AND RELATED PRODUCT INFORMATION CAN BE FOUND
IN ABUNDANCE ON THE INTERNET. AGAIN, PRIVACY AND SECURITY ARE
PUT AT RISK WHEN THIS INFORMATION IS DIRECTED TO THE GENERAL
PUBLIC, AS OPPOSED TO AUTHORIZED LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND
TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS.

AS PREVIOUSLY STATED, WITH REGARD TO AUTHORIZED, COURT-ORDERED COMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTIONS, LAW ENFORCEMENT HAS HISTORICALLY WORKED CLOSELY WITH TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS TO MAKE SURE THAT SUBSCRIBER PRIVACY AND NETWORK SECURITY ARE EFFECTIVELY ADDRESSED. SECTION 2518(4) OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, REQUIRES WIRE AND ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PROVIDERS TO FURNISH LAW ENFORCEMENT WITH THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH AUTHORIZED, COURT-ORDERED COMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTIONS. UNFORTUNATELY, RECENT AND CONTINUING ADVANCES IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, NETWORKS, SERVICES, AND FEATURES HAVE MADE IT MORE DIFFICULT, IF NOT IMPOSSIBLE, FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT TO CONDUCTED AUTHORIZED, COURT-ORDERED INTERCEPTIONS, BOTH IN TERMS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT'S AND A TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER'S ABILITY TO OBTAIN ALL OF THE CALL CONTENT AND ALL OF THE CALL-IDENTIFYING INFORMATION THAT ARE AUTHORIZED TO BE INTERCEPTED.

IN OCTOBER OF 1994, CONGRESS AGAIN TOOK ACTION TO
PROTECT THE PUBLIC SAFETY AND NATIONAL SECURITY BY ENACTING THE
COMMUNICATIONS ASSISTANCE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT (CALEA). THE
PURPOSE OF CALEA IS TO CLARIFY THE EXISTING OBLIGATION OF
TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS TO ASSIST LAW ENFORCEMENT IN CARRYING
OUT AUTHORIZED, COURT-ORDERED INTERCEPTIONS, IN A SECURE FASHION,
BY SETTING FORTH IN LAW THE REQUIRED INTERCEPTION CAPABILITIES
AND CAPACITIES THAT TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS NEED TO ESTABLISH
AND MAINTAIN WITHIN THEIR NETWORKS TO ASSIST LAW ENFORCEMENT.

THE FBI HAS BEEN WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS
INDUSTRY IN DEVELOPING MORE DETAILED TECHNICAL STANDARDS AND
REQUIREMENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE PROVISIONS OF CALEA. IN ADDITION,
WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE FCC WILL SOON BE OFFERING FOR COMMENT,
PURSUANT TO SECTION 105 OF CALEA, ITS PROPOSED REGULATIONS FOR
NETWORK SECURITY AND INTEGRITY WITH REGARD TO AUTHORIZED, COURTORDERED COMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTIONS.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT, IN THE MAIN, THE SOLUTIONS BEING CONSIDERED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AND THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY REGARDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CALEA ARE CHIEFLY LOCATED WITHIN THE CARRIERS' SWITCHING FACILITIES. THESE SOLUTIONS TO THE CURRENT TECHNOLOGICAL IMPEDIMENTS TO AUTHORIZED, COURT-ORDERED INTERCEPTIONS ARE NOT RELATED TO, AND DO NOT INVOLVE, INTERCEPTIONS WITHIN THE RADIO PORTION OF WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS. THUS, THE SECURITY AND PRIVACY-ENHANCING MEASURES AND TECHNOLOGIES THAT I PREVIOUSLY ALLUDED TO ARE NOT IMPACTED OR AFFECTED BY THE CALEA PROCESS.

MR. CHAIRMAN, AT THIS TIME I WILL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTION YOU AND THE MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE MAY HAVE.